



# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/286,249 04/05/1999		BRUCE W. STELMAN	HELLO-00308	4721		
28960	7590 12/29/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	OCK & OWENS LLP	HAROLD, JEFFEREY F				
	WOLFE ROAD LE, CA 94086	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	,		2644			
			DATE MAILED: 12/29/2004	DATE MAILED: 12/29/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

0

	·	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	- ON		
Office Action Summary		09/286,24	9	STELMAN, BRUCE	.W.		
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Jefferey F	Harold	2644			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAN insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuth returned to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve ication. days, a reply within the statt orry period will apply and wi	ent, however, may a reply be ting story minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.		
Status							
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	· · ·						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 41-58 and 63 is/are pending if 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 41-58 and 63 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from cor		·			
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Interpretation is objected to by the Interpretation is a specific and specification is a specific	a) accepted or b) on to the drawing(s) b ne correction is require	e held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFF	• •		
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do  2. Certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action to	ocuments have bee ocuments have bee the priority docume al Bureau (PCT Rule	n received n received in Applicat ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	itage		
Attachmen	ıt(s)						
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal R 6) Other:	ate	152)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Art Unit: 2644

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on July 9, 2004, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new grounds of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
  - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 41-58 and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/286,249

Art Unit: 2644

Claims 41-58 and 63 of this application is asserted by applicant to correspond to claim(s) of U.S. Patent No. 5,729,603.

The examiner does not consider this claim to be directed to the same invention as that of U.S. Patent No. 5,729,603 because the support for the copied claims is not the same as that of U.S. Patent No. 5,729,603. Accordingly, an interference cannot be initiated based upon this claim. The specific differences are discussed below.

Regarding **claim 41**, the claim limitation recites "...a switch matrix, settable to any of a plurality of switch configurations...". U.S. Patent No. 5,729,603 discloses that the plurality of switch configuration yields twenty-four possible combinations. However, the polarity of the signal lines is not important, thus reducing the twenty-four possibilities to 6 possible configurations as disclosed at column 4, line 32 through column 5, line 24 and exhibited in table 1. Conversely applicant's specification does not disclose the number of possible configuration, thus the support for the claimed limitation is different.

The claim further cites the limitation "...a control logic, coupled to the switch matrix, that automatically determines which of the plurality of signal lines from the handset port comprise the handset port receive path, determines a preferred switch configuration from among a plurality of switch configurations based upon which of the plurality of signal lines from the handset port comprise the handset port receive path, and sets the switch matrix to the preferred switch configuration, the preferred switch configuration coupling the handset port receive path to the headset receive path." U.S. Patent No. 5,729,603 discloses that control logic test each of the six configurations is tested with a test signal, typically a dial tone and the result is measured via the signal

Application/Control Number: 09/286,249

Art Unit: 2644

level detector to determine the preferred configuration. Each of the six combinations are tested sequentially and the result is compared to the previous result and the best result is used as the combination. Conversely the applicant's specification does not disclose the above process to determine the appropriate configuration. Therefore claim 41 fails to meet the requirement of MPEP 2307.02

Regarding claim 56, 57 and 63, disclose the same limitations as in claim 41, thus the applicant specification fail to provide the support to copy the claim limitation as stated above. Therefore claim 41 fails to meet the requirement of MPEP 2307.02.

Further, regarding specification of patented case and its applicability to the copied claims, Rowe v. Dror, 42UPQ2d 1550, states that "application claim must be interpreted in light of specification in which it appears, rather than with reference to patent from which it was copied, in interference in which issue is whether claim is patentable to party in light of prior art; rule that <u>copied claim is interpreted in light of its originating disclosure applies in context of issue of whether applicant is eligible to copy patentee's claim and thereby challenge priority of invention.</u>

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jefferey F Harold whose telephone number is 703-306-5836. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Forester W Isen can be reached on 703-305-4386. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/286,249

Art Unit: 2644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained **from** the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jefferey F Harold

Examiner Art Unit 2644

JFH

December 21, 2004